## *E)* Workshop numerical results for the comparison of the methods

In this view bright red color indicates lowest results and bright green color indicates highest results for the comparison of the methods.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Method 1: Use of Traditional Requirement Analysis Method** | **Method 2: Use of a Generic Requirements Framework** | **Method 3: Use of Threat Model Analysis** | **Method 4: Use of a Requirements Repository** | **Method 5: Use of a OWL Based Repository** | **Method 6: Use of Security Use-Cases** |
| **The suitability for small companies** | 3.862069 | 2.655172 | 2.482759 | 3.586207 | 2.827586 | 3.448276 |
| **Feasibility of completion in the time allotted** | 3.000000 | 3.275862 | 3.172414 | 3.965517 | 2.965517 | 3.448276 |
| **Lack of dependence on historical threat data** | 3.827586 | 2.965517 | 2.517241 | 2.172414 | 3.206897 | 3.137931 |
| **Suitability in addressing requirements** | 3.344828 | 4.034483 | 3.965517 | 4.172414 | 4.000000 | 3.931034 |
| **Capability of using previous software requirements** | 2.000000 | 3.551724 | 4.000000 | 4.344828 | 3.551724 | 3.344828 |
| **Requires a long learning period** | 1.517241 | 4.068966 | 3.758621 | 2.344828 | 4.034483 | 2.758621 |